

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/544,865	04/07/2000	Pauline Sai-Fun Yeung	04509.P010	9546
7590 08/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Paramita Ghosh Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025			BLOUNT, STEVEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	.7
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/544,865	YEUNG, PAULINE SAI-FUN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Steven Blount	2661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  be timely filed  displays will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	27 May 200 <u>4</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 9-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>6-8 and 19-21</u> is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appli priority documents have been rec ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)		1					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,,					

Application/Control Number: 09/544,865

Art Unit: 2661

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 5 and 9 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent 5,758,075 to Graziano et al in view of U.S. patent 5,845,152 to Anderson et al.

With regard to claim 1, Graziano et al teaches selecting a first queue from at least four queues in a switch in col 2 line 35, col 23 lines 58+, col 25 lines 16+, Col 37 lines 30+, and col 37 lines 55 (especially). Graziano also teaches receiving a packet over a bus in col 5 lines 13+, the abstract, and col 2 line 24. Graziano further teaches selecting a second queue to place the packet in, in col 2 lines 50+, col 38 lines 10+, and col 39 lines 37+, and flushing in col 36 lines 38+. Graziano does not however explicitly teach selecting or placing the packet based on the cycle number of a cycle. Doing this is taught in Anderson et al in col 4, lines 19 – 28. See also figure 3 and col 2 lines 45+ and note the fact that it is mentioned in col 4 lines 23+ that it is mentioned that the cycles are generally sent in order unless there is a lost cycle or failed transmission.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected and placed the packet in the queues of Graziano Application/Control Number: 09/544,865

Art Unit: 2661

based on the cycle number, in light of the teachings of Anderson et al, in order to help correct for lost cycles, skewed cycles, or failed transmissions.

With regard to claim 2, note that in col 4 lines 26+, it is stated that the packets can be sent out in a "specific cycle".

With regard to claim 3, four queues are shown in figure 4B.

With regard to claims 4 - 5, the choice of queues corresponding to the specific cycles and the labeling of the queue numbers is a matter of design choice.

With regard to claims 9 - 10, note the use of pointers in col 39 lines 5+ of Graziano and also figure 8B of Anderson et al.

With regard to claims 11 - 12, see the rejection of claim 1 above and note that the means in the specifications of Graziano et al and Anderson et al.

With regard to claim 13, see the rejection of claim 1 and note that the flushing operation would be obvious to apply to both the ingress and egress buffers (queues).

With regard to claim 14, at least one bus is used.

With regard to claim 15, IEEE 1394 is mentioned in col 1 of Anderson et al.

With regard to claim 16, note figure 4B of Graziano et al.

With regard to claims 17 - 18, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

3. Claims 6 - 8 and 19 - 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/544,865

Art Unit: 2661

- 4. Applicants arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 5. Examiner Steven Blount may be reached at 703-305-0319 between the hours of 9:00 and 5:30.

Ajit Patel Primary Examiner

SB \_} 7/30/04